

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/912,781	07/25/2001	Jean Louis Calvignac	RAL920010025US1 5146	
26675	7590 09/20/2006		EXAMINER	
DRIGGS, H	OGG & FRY CO. L.P.	MAIS, MARK A		
38500 CHAR DEPT. IRA	DON ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	Y HILLS, OH 44094		2616	
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			10		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\07		
Advisory Action	09/912,781	CALVIGNAC ET AL	•		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mark A. Mais MAM	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress		
THE REPLY FILED 11 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI		=			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin		in the final rejection wh	iahawaria latar In		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);			
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>			the issues for		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ul>		timal: filed amandma	unt aanaalina tha		
non-allowable claim(s).	nowabie ii submitted iii a separate,	unlely filed afficition	ent canceling the		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-8,10-13,15-18 and 20-22</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	at before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•			
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				

13. Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.

Continuation of 13. Other: The proposed amendment raises new issues that requires further consideration and search.

Seema S. Rao 9/17/06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600